

Assessment of provincial response to detailed staff comments on 2019 draft changes to the Provincial Policy Statement

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
Part I: Preamble				
Paragraph 2	While the current Vision makes reference to health and healthy communities, the references primarily pertain to minimizing risk to public health and safety and do not clearly emphasize the importance of the relationship between health and the built environment.	Recommend that the policy should be modified as follows: “The Provincial Policy Statement promotes healthy communities and provides for appropriate development while protecting resources of provincial interest, public health and safety, and the quality of the natural and built environment. The Provincial Policy Statement supports improved land use planning and management, which contributes to a more effective and efficient land use planning system.”	No	-
Part III: How to Read the Provincial Policy Statement				
Relationship with Provincial Plans	Support additional wording about the interaction between the Provincial Policy Statement and the other Provincial Plans.	-	Maintained	Maintained policy directions for similar/related/overlapping matters addressed by PPS and other provincial plans

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
Part IV: Vision for Ontario's Land Use Planning System				
Paragraph 6	The inclusion of recreational examples is unnecessary and excludes other opportunities such as cultural learning at archaeological sites, boating, camping, bird watching, photography, etc.	Recommended that the paragraph be modified as follows: "...The Province must ensure that its resources are managed in a sustainable way to conserve biodiversity, protect essential ecological processes, promote and public health and safety, provide for the production of food and fibre, minimize environmental and social impacts, provide for recreational opportunities (e.g. fishing, hunting and hiking) and meet its long-term needs..."	No	-
Section 1.0: Building Strong Healthy Communities				
1.1.1b	<p>York Region staff suggest that a market-based approach to housing may have unintended consequences, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. hindering the provision of affordable, age-friendly and purpose built rental housing. Historically, market-based trends in York Region show tremendous demand for housing patterns that are not affordable to a large portion of York Region residents. 2. development outpacing the provision of new infrastructure. 3. an emphasis on market demand for increasing settlement areas may lead to increased capital investment and on-going costs for infrastructure and/or inefficient use of infrastructure. 4. not addressing anticipated demographics and economic shifts. <p>It is also unclear how a market-based approach correlates with the Growth Plan's targets which are meant to influence market demand to provide certain housing types in certain places.</p> <p>Market demand is one of many factors that should be considered in ensuring appropriate range and mix of housing. Other key considerations include demographics, economy, and housing targets. York Region staff interprets a market-based approach to be a comprehensive look at the housing market, including the existing supply, demographic shifts, market demand and future trends.</p> <p>A definition, which recognizes that market demand is one of the lenses through which growth management decisions are assessed, would aid in the interpretation and implementation of a market-based approach.</p>	Recommended that the market demand should be a lens through which growth management decisions are assessed, not the only factor, to ensure an appropriate range and mix of housing is provided.	Partial	While the PPS 2020 does not provide a definition for market-based, the policy was revised to incorporate the addition of "affordable" to market-based range and mix of housing options.

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.1.1e	Staff are supportive of emphasizing the integration of land use planning with transit investment, growth management, development, and infrastructure planning. This integrated approach will also reduce transportation and energy demands.	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: “...minimize land consumption, transportation, energy and servicing costs;”	No	-
1.1.1f	Staff do not support the removal of “identify, prevent, and remove” as they are more specific and trigger an action in the development process. “Addressing” leaves this more open to interpretation and may be given lower priority to other competing planning issues. Accessibility is a universal aspect of a complete community, providing access, not only to persons with disabilities, but also strollers, elderly and children.	Recommend that the policy retain “identifying, preventing, and removing.” Recommend that the policy be further modified as follows: “improving universal accessibility for all persons with disabilities by identifying, preventing and removing addressing land use barriers which restrict their full participation in society;”	No	-
1.1.2	Lengthening the planning horizon from 20 to 25 years is consistent with the Growth Plan which has been recently amended to lengthen the planning horizon to 2041. A longer planning horizon will help facilitate long-term infrastructure planning. Timely Provincial approval of critical infrastructure is essential to ensure that land supply can be adequately serviced and meet growth expectations and housing supply objectives. Parts of York Region’s designated land supply approved by the Province in the 2010 Regional Official Plan is still constrained by water and wastewater servicing needs, primarily due to outstanding environmental assessment approvals by the Province.	Recommend the Province provide timely approval of critical infrastructure to facilitate a 25 year land supply.	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.1.3.2c	Water quality is a key contributor to the quality of communities, both adjacent to water source and downstream, and should be included as a factor considered to determine land use patterns.	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: c) “minimize negative impacts to air and water quality and climate change, and promote energy efficiency;”	No	-
1.1.3.6	Staff do not support weakening of this policy. Designated growth areas should be required to be developed in a compact form with a mix of uses and density. However, staff recognize the PPS applies Province-wide and the scale of compact form and mix of uses and density must be appropriate to the local context. This policy should remain strong to make efficient use of investments in transit and infrastructure and continue to protect our natural heritage system and agricultural land.	Recommend that the policy retain the original “shall.”	No	-
1.1.3.7	Strong phasing policies are essential to ensure the timing of infrastructure and public service facilities in designated growth areas. If development is able outpace infrastructure delivery, critical issues may arise, such as increase traffic congestion and low water pressure. The proposed changes diminish the strength and significance of this policy.	Recommend that the policy retain the original “shall.”	No	-
1.1.3.8	Market demand is a subjective term and should be clarified. Market demand should be one consideration when municipalities identify “sufficient opportunities to accommodate growth” in conjunction with other growth management objectives and infrastructure requirements. Staff support the added text in sub-point e) which seeks to avoid impacts, as well as the retention of minimum distance separation in sub-point d). Staff request clarification that 1.1.3.8e) still refers to the most appropriate direction (geography) for expansion. Recommend that this policy should still “have regard for or apply the policies of Section 2 and 3” – as was stated in the 2014 PPS.	Recommend that the proposed addition of satisfying market demand be clarified and identify market demand as one of many considerations to provide sufficient development opportunities. Recommend sub-point e) be revised to clarify that the policy continues to refer to the most appropriate geographic direction for expansion.	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.1.3.9	York Region staff does not support settlement area boundary adjustment outside of a municipal comprehensive review. Proposed changes have the potential to impact population and employment growth outside of a municipal comprehensive review process, which has the potential to impact the alignment between land use and infrastructure planning.	Recommended that this be removed or modified to only permit this in exceptional circumstances that are based on clear criteria.	No	
1.1.4.1	Rural communities policies should include protection and promotion of greenspace to support climate change mitigation and adaptation.	Recommend that the policy be added as follows: "j) protect and promote greenspace to support climate change mitigation and adaptation"	No	-
1.2.1	York Region staff supports the integration of infrastructure with growth management. The proposed change will support York Region's Water and Wastewater Master Plans and growth management objectives.	-	Maintained	
1.2.2	York Region staff actively engages with indigenous communities. However, there is no limitation within the policy on whom municipalities are required to engage with. The Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks provides direction to proponents on which communities to engage when undertaking environmental assessments. Similar direction would be beneficial for engaging with indigenous communities on land use planning matters.	Recommend that the policy be revised to require engagement with indigenous communities that hold or have validly asserted treaty or aboriginal rights that may be affected by the land use planning matter. Alternatively, the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing should provide direction on what Indigenous communities should be engaged as part of the planning matter.	No	-
1.2.4a	Coordination with local municipalities is essential for integrated and collaborative land use planning. Consultation regarding the allocation of population, housing, and employment projections is not enough to comprehensively plan for long-term regional growth.	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: "a) identify, coordinate and allocate population, housing and employment projections for lower-tier municipalities. Allocations and projections by upper tier municipalities shall be based on and reflect provincial plans where these exist and are informed by provincial guidelines;"	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.2.4b	In keeping with other policies in the Provincial Policy Statement, growth and development should be directed to area with existing or planning transit corridors.	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: "b) identify areas where growth or development will be directed, including the identification of nodes and the existing and future transit corridors linking these nodes;..."	No	-
1.2.4d	Increased density along major transit corridors may be inappropriate where stations do not exist or are not planned for (e.g. between GO railways). Reference to major transit corridors should match the Growth Plan by rephrasing to major transit stations, where increased density is more appropriate.	"d) where major transit corridors exist or are to be developed, identify density targets for areas adjacent or in proximity to these corridors and major transit stations, including minimum targets that should be met before expansion of the boundaries of settlement areas is permitted in accordance with policy 1.1.3.8; and..."	No	-
1.2.6.1	Staff do not support the removal of referencing design, buffers, and separation as methods to minimize and/or mitigate adverse impacts.	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: "Major facilities and sensitive land uses shall be planned and developed to avoid, or if avoidance is not possible, minimize and mitigate any potential adverse effects from air pollution, odour, noise and other contaminants, minimize risk to public health and safety, and to ensure the long-term operational and economic viability of major facilities by appropriate design, buffers and/or separation from each other in accordance with provincial guidelines, standards and procedures."	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.2.6.2	There have been many instances where major facility operators have experienced issues with the long term operation, maintenance and expansion of major facilities (e.g. water and wastewater and waste facilities) where sensitive land uses are located in close proximity. This can represent a significant barrier to expansion of existing facilities, which may be required to provide the servicing capacity to support additional housing capacity and/or job creation.	Staff recommend that planning policies ensure effective separation of sensitive land uses from incompatible major facilities, such as water and wastewater facilities.	Partial	Two criteria added
1.3.1	<p>Clarity is needed that the addition of “mixed use” does not imply residential mixed use is permitted in employment areas. Residential uses, of any kind, should not be permitted in employment areas. If the added mixed use refers to a mix of employment uses, then it is a duplication and can be removed.</p> <p>Clarity is also needed on what is meant by “identifying strategic sites for investment.” Does this mean Provincially Significant Employment Zones, regionally significant employment areas referenced in policy 1.3.2.5, or specific parcels? A definition of “strategic site for investment” would aid in interpretation.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: “a) providing for an appropriate mix and range of employment and institutional uses, and mixed uses to meet long-term needs, where appropriate;”</p> <p>Recommend defining “strategic sites for investment”.</p>	Partial	While this policy did not change, policy 1.3.2 clarified that residential uses are not permitted in employment areas.

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.3.2.2	<p>As per Growth Plan policy 2.2.5.6, both upper and single tier municipalities will designate employment areas in official plans.</p> <p>The link between the first and second paragraphs is unclear and should refer to all employment areas to be consistent with the PPS definition of employment.</p> <p>The proposed new paragraph implies that there are certain employment areas planned only for industrial and manufacturing and should be treated differently. This is contrary to the PPS definition of employment areas, which states employment areas should provide a mix and range of employment uses.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: “At the time of the official plan review or update, planning authorities should assess employment areas identified in local official plans.”</p> <p>Recommend that the second paragraph be a standalone policy and be revised to refer to all employment areas.</p> <p>Recommended that the policies be modified to refer to all employment areas.</p>	No	-
1.3.2.3	<p>This policy implies that there are certain employment areas planned only for industrial and manufacturing and should be treated differently.</p> <p>This policy also implies that residential uses are ancillary uses to employment areas and are permitted within employment areas. Residential uses, including institutional residential uses, such as long-term care facilities, are not appropriate in employment areas and are contrary to other policies within the PPS and Growth Plan.</p>	<p>Recommend the policy be revised to refer to all employment areas.</p> <p>Recommend that reference to residential uses, including residential institutional uses, within any employment area be removed.</p>	Yes	Reworded to clarify that residential uses are prohibited in employment areas

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.3.2.5	<p>Employment area conversions occurring outside of an MCR are of concern. At minimum the wording should mirror the conversion policies in the Growth Plan, including the one-time opportunity for conversion and criteria to convert. It is also recommended that criteria be added to provide clarity regarding if all or only certain types of conversion requests could be considered outside of a MCR.</p> <p>As written, the policy states that conversions cannot occur outside of an MCR within Provincially significant employment zones or regionally significant employment areas. Regional staff are supportive of the flexibility to identify regionally significant employment areas specific to local context as this is supportive of Provincial objectives to support the long term protection of employment areas. However, clarification is needed for regionally significant employment areas, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A definition of regionally significant employment areas. 2. Confirmation of who identifies them, as it is unclear what a regional economic development corporation is. Regionally significant employment areas should only be identified by upper- or single- tier municipalities who service the long term public interest. 3. Clarification on how they differ from Provincially significant employment zones. 4. Confirmation that they are to be designated in Regional and upper-tier Official Plans, as referred to in policy 2.2.5.6 of the Growth Plan. 	<p>Recommend the addition of criteria to identify what types of conversion requests could be considered outside of a municipal comprehensive review.</p> <p>Recommend the policy be revised to clarify what regionally significant employment areas are. It should also clearly state that upper- and single-tier municipalities identify regionally significant employment areas.</p> <p>Recommend the addition of the following definition : “Regionally significant employment area: Employment areas that have long-term regional interest for reasons including, but not limited to: a. their location near infrastructure for major transportation or goods movement; b. their strategic role and/or economic impact to the region; c. the presence of high concentrations of employment, business clusters or nodes; and d. the availability of a large quantum of vacant employment land supply with the potential to support future job growth. Regionally significant employment areas include provincially significant employment zones as well as area outside of provincially significant employment zones.”</p>	No	-
1.4.1	<p>It is unclear how housing “types” (e.g. 1.1.1 & 1.3.2.b.2), “options” (e.g. 1.4.1 & 1.4.2), and “forms of” differs from each other throughout the PPS.</p> <p>The newly added paragraph is unnecessary since the policy states that 3 years is a minimum requirement. Therefore a municipality may maintain a land supply to provide growth for any length of time longer than 3 years.</p>	<p>Recommend consistency by only using the defined “housing options” terminology, which includes housing types, throughout the Provincial Policy Statement.</p> <p>Recommend removing proposed additional paragraph.</p>	Partial	<p>. Added "options" to the policy</p> <p>. The proposed additional paragraph remains unchanged</p>

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.4.3	<p>"Special needs" in b.1 is an outdated term and should be updated.</p> <p>See comment associated with policy 1.1.1.b regarding market-based needs.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows:</p> <p>"b) permitting and facilitating:</p> <p>1. all housing options required to meet the social, health, economic and well-being requirements of all current and future residents, including special needs requirements the needs of vulnerable populations and needs arising from demographic changes and employment opportunities, including opportunities to age in place; and..."</p>	No	-
1.6.1	<p>There are environmental assessment requirements and asset management requirements to ensure that infrastructure considers future impacts of climate change. Staff recommend that the Province use wording that indicates the infrastructure systems can adapt to a changing climate.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows:</p> <p>"Infrastructure and public service facilities shall be provided in an efficient manner that prepares for and adapts to the impacts of a changing climate while accommodating projected needs..."</p>	No	-
1.6.2	<p>Renewable energy should be referenced to support green infrastructure and mitigation of climate change.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows:</p> <p>"Planning authorities should promote green infrastructure and renewable energy to complement infrastructure"</p>	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.6.6.1	<p>The previous wording should be retained to ensure optimized uses of services (i.e. "direct and accommodate"). Growth needs to be staged and directed to existing areas or areas planned for infrastructure to ensure financial sustainability.</p> <p>Staff do not support removing that private communal sewage services must comply with all regulatory requirements. If they are not required to comply with all regulatory requirements, negative effects could be observed on the environment, human health, and the economy, as the current regulations state.</p> <p>Municipalities need additional support materials that aid in the cost-benefit analysis needed to determine the optimum density related to infrastructure investment.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: Planning for sewage and water services shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) direct and accommodate forecasted growth in a manner that promotes the efficient use and optimization of existing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. municipal sewage services and municipal water services; and 2. private communal sewage services and private communal water services, where municipal sewage services and municipal water services are not available or feasible; b) ensure that these systems are provided in a manner that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. can be sustained by the water resources upon which such services rely; 2. prepares for the impacts of a changing climate; 3. is feasible, and financially viable over their lifecycle and complies with all regulatory requirements; and ..." 	No	
1.6.6.3	<p>York Region has a comprehensive program to provide York Region residents high quality water and wastewater services that achieve very high quality standards. In areas where municipal water and wastewater services are not available, the proposed policy change is likely to result in greater instances of private communal water and wastewater services. In aligning infrastructure and land use planning, growth is directed to areas with existing or planned municipal water and wastewater services, except in rare and unique circumstances.</p>	<p>It is recommended that PPS policy reinforce the benefits of Ontarians receiving high quality and safe water and wastewater services, only permitting private or communal water/wastewater servicing in rare and unique circumstances.</p>	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.6.6.4	<p>The reference to 'no negative impacts' is not appropriate in this policy, as there will always be some negative impacts to septic systems. It would be more appropriate to reference the Ontario Building Code.</p> <p>Clarity is needed for "feasible." This term is subjective and could lead to differing interpretations. It is recommended that "feasible" be defined with clear criteria for what would be considered "feasible" to provide greater certainty for both municipalities and developers.</p> <p>The word 'only' should be kept to ensure that individual on-site sewage services and water services are limited and only for infilling and minor rounding of existing development.</p> <p>Additional clarification is needed on the level of assessment the policy encourages. Staff are concerned about the scale and difficulty of undertaking this assessment. Due to the nature of individual on-site wastewater servicing (septic systems) it is difficult to identify impacts directly. Unless there is obvious signs of failure, extensive tracer studies would likely be required; however, historically tracer studies have had limited success identifying failures.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: "Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services are not available, planned or feasible, individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services may be used provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision in accordance with the Ontario Building Code of such services with no negative impacts. In settlement areas, individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services may only be used for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development."</p> <p>Recommend the second paragraph be removed. Alternatively, further clarification on the scale of the assessment is required.</p>	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.6.6.7	<p>Staff support the principle of an integrated and holistic planning process but have concern with the addition of stormwater to water and wastewater planning due to jurisdictional issues in two-tier municipalities. Currently, stormwater is a local municipal responsibility under the Municipal Act, 2001, whereas water and wastewater are generally upper-tier requirements. It is recommended that this section be revised to state that this clause only applies to single-tier municipalities.</p> <p>Staff support the inclusion of preparing for climate change. However, as written the policy is circular: "Stormwater management shall...prepare for the impacts of climate change through the effective management of stormwater."</p> <p>Staff are opposed to changing the language from not increasing risks to human health, safety, and property, to "mitigate" these risks. This would appear to allow leeway to increase risks to human health, safety, and property. This is not recommended.</p> <p>The use of stormwater management best practices should be ensured, not only promoted. Green infrastructure, source control and maintaining hydrologic regime should also be identified as a stormwater management best practice.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: Planning for stormwater management shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) in single-tier municipalities, be integrated with planning for sewage and water services and ensure that systems are optimized, feasible and financially viable over the long term; b) minimize, or, where possible, prevent increases in contaminant loads; c) minimize erosion and changes in water balance, and prepare for the impacts of a changing address future climate conditions through the effective management of stormwater... d) mitigate minimize risks to human health, safety, property and the environment; e) maximize the extent and function of vegetative and pervious surfaces; and f) promote ensure stormwater management best practices, including source control, stormwater attenuation and re-use, water conservation and efficiency, green infrastructure, and low impact development, and maintaining the hydrologic regime. 	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.6.7.1	The Provincial Policy Statement should explicitly acknowledge the fundamental importance of accessibility and include it as an important feature of community elements. The Provincial Policy Statement should also reinforce the importance of human health, especially due to the impact that transportation issues have on air quality.	Recommended that the policy be modified as follows: "Transportation systems should be provided which are safe, accessible, energy efficient, facilitate the movement of people and goods, minimize human health impacts from air pollution in accordance with regulatory standards and are appropriate to address projected needs."	No	-
1.6.7.2	Staff are concerned that language has been softened from "shall" to "should" with regards to efficient usage of existing and planned infrastructure. The use of "shall" is consistent with other policies in the Provincial Policy Statement that seek cost-efficient infrastructure planning (1.1.1.e). Complete street best practices can also be used to increase the efficiency of existing and planning transportation infrastructure.	Recommended that the policy be modified as follows: "Efficient use shall should be made of existing and planned infrastructure, including through the use of transportation demand management strategies and complete street best practices, where feasible."	No	-
1.6.7.3	Staff suggest a note be added regarding fare/service integration between transportation systems to improve connectivity and seamlessness of travel. This would help improve inter-regional transit service, encourage increase ridership, and result in a better return on transit infrastructure costs.	Recommended that the policy be modified as follows: "As part of a multimodal transportation system, connectivity within and among transportation systems and modes should be maintained and, where possible, improved including connections which cross jurisdictional boundaries, and fare and service integration."	No	-
1.6.7.4	A grid network land use pattern should be prioritized to maximize efficiency of operating transportation systems. This policy can provide additional guidance on the hierarchy of transportation, as single occupancy vehicle trips should be the top priority for reduction.	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: "A grid network land use pattern, density and mix of uses should be promoted that minimize the length and number of vehicle trips, particularly single occupancy vehicle trips, and support current and future use of transit and active transportation."	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.6.7.5 (from the original 2014 PPS, proposed to be delete)	This policy is critical for the building of strong, healthy, complete communities that transportation and land use considerations be integrated at all stages of the planning process.	Recommend that the policy be reinstated.	No	-
1.6.8.1	<p>To ensure municipalities can effectively plan for all modes of transportation, additional details are required on corridors. Active transportation corridors should also be specifically supported by this policy to ensure key active transportation routes area planned and protected, including within the right-of-way and separated multi-use trail corridors.</p> <p>Subterranean corridors should also be planned and protected for underground utility and transportation corridor or tunnels.</p>	Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: "Planning authorities shall plan for and protect at-grade and subterranean corridors and rights-of-way for transportation, transit, active transportation and infrastructure facilities to meet current and projected needs."	No	-
1.6.8.4	This policy should be expanded to include unopened road allowances to allow for effective support of continuous linear corridors. This policy should be strengthened by removing "wherever feasible".	Recommend the policy be modified as follows: "The preservation and reuse of abandoned corridors, and unopened road allowances for purposes that maintain the corridor's integrity and continuous linear characteristics should be encouraged, wherever feasible."	No	-
1.6.8.5	Staff support the addition of this policy, however additional clarity should be provided to define what co-location means, what types of infrastructure this is in reference to, and the relationship between transportation corridors and other infrastructure corridors (e.g. water and wastewater mains, transmission lines and pipelines).	Recommend that "co-location" be clarified.	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.6.10.1	<p>The removal of considering the implications of land use patterns on waste generation, management, and diversion should not be removed. It is counter to developing sustainable communities.</p> <p>The Provincial Policy Statements should also speak to all four tools for waste management through the addition of “recover”. Directives should be included that address waste minimization and the progression towards a zero waste society.</p>	<p>Recommend that the policy be modified as follows: “Waste management systems need to be provided that are of an appropriate size and type to accommodate present and future requirements, and facilitate, encourage and promote reduction, reuse, and recycling and recovery objectives as a progression towards a zero waste society. Planning authorities should consider the implications of development and land use patterns on waste generation, management and diversion...”</p>	No	-
1.7.1	<p>It is unclear what is meant by “community investment-readiness”, therefore, additional clarity is needed.</p> <p>Staff are supportive of encouraging complete communities and provided live work opportunities for residents; however, inclusion of a housing policy in the economic prosperity section raises concerns of having unintended consequences for increased pressures of employment area conversions to permit residential uses within employment areas. It is more appropriate to attach a housing reference to an existing policy on economic development.</p> <p>Staff are supportive of the added wording regarding local food and the agri-food network.</p> <p>Staff recommend recognizing the human health of nature, including reducing stress, depression, and high blood pressure.</p>	<p>Recommend that “community investment readiness” be clarified.</p> <p>Recommend that this policy be modified as follows: “Long-term economic prosperity should be supported by: a) promoting opportunities for economic development, and community investment-readiness and housing options for a diverse workforce and plans for future work patterns b) encouraging residential uses to respond to dynamic market-based needs and provide necessary housing supply and range of housing options for a diverse workforce; k) minimizing negative impacts from a changing climate and considering the ecological and human health benefits provided by nature;...”</p>	No	-

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
1.8.1	Staff support the policy changes as they strengthen the policy objectives.	-	Maintained	
2.0 Wise Use and Management of Resources				
2.1.10	York Region is supportive of protecting wetlands beyond those subject to 2.1.4 and 2.1.5. It's difficult to assess what this policy means given the reference to Provincial guidelines.	Recommend that this policy be modified as follows: "Municipalities may choose to manage protect wetlands not subject to policy 2.1.4 and 2.1.5, in accordance with guidelines developed by the Province."	No	-
2.3.2	York Region staff supports the added reference to an agricultural system approach, the agri-food network, and associated definitions.	-	Maintained	
2.3.3.3	York Region staff does not support the proposed additional text which limits the policy to prime agricultural areas. This policy should continue to refer to new land uses in any agricultural area.	Recommend maintaining the original text.	No	-
2.3.6.1	York Region staff does not support the removal of sub-point b)2 regarding minimum distance separation.	Recommend sub-point b)2 be reinstated.	Yes	Sub-point re-instated
2.3.6.2	York Region staff supports proposed additional text referring to avoiding impacts of non-agricultural uses and provincial guidelines.	-	No	-
3.0 Protecting Public Health and Safety				
3.2.3	York Region staff supports the added policy that encourages the re-use of soil.	-	Maintained	

York Region comments on 2019 draft Provincial Policy Statement			Assessment of provincial response to Regional comments in PPS 2020	
Policy Number*	Rationale	Recommendation	Addressed?	Approach
4.0 Implementation and Interpretation				
4.7	<p>Staff are concerned this proposed policy is redundant due to recent changes to the Planning Act to reduce the time permitted to review applications which came into effect on September 3, 2019 . It is also unclear what priority applications are.</p> <p>Staff are supportive of other options to help streamline the planning and development process, such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. consolidating Environmental Assessment Act, 1990 and Planning Act, 1990 approvals. 2. simplifying/reducing the complexity of the official plan amendment processes, and increasing alignment between official plans and secondary plans <p>respecting local decision making by restricting the basis of appeals to conformity matters and prohibiting de novo hearings.</p>	<p>Recommend removal of this proposed policy.</p> <p>Recommend pursuing other avenues to streamline the planning and development process.</p>	Yes	Policy removed