

SUMMARY OF 2018 INSPECTION FINDINGS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

System Name and Inspection Date	Inspection Score (%)	Summary of Findings and Corrective Actions
------------------------------------	-------------------------	--

Municipality: Aurora

Aurora DWS (York DWS sub-system) August 23, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
---	-----	---

Municipality: East Gwillimbury

Holland Landing DWS (York DWS sub-system) August 29, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
--	-----	---

Mount Albert DWS May 17, 2018	94.60	Two non-compliances were identified relating to a single incident where a set of raw water and treated water samples were taken, but not delivered to the laboratory for analysis. This single delivery error is reflected in two other 2018 Inspections. Operational procedures have been reviewed and revised to prevent re-occurrence and training was provided to staff. Subsequent weekly samples were analyzed with no water quality issues identified. There was one best management practice recommendation to update the work management system to correctly reflect the frequency of asset inspections. Staff updated the work order frequency for the relevant asset inspections.
---	-------	---

Sharon/Queensville DWS (York DWS sub-system) February 13, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings from this inspection. There was one best management practice recommendation to investigate how to cross reference maintenance activities during the data review process to ensure data points that do not belong in the annual report are not included. A data point was included in annual reporting that was considered erroneous because it was collected during maintenance, however it appeared to exceed the compliance limit for treated water turbidity and caused confusion. Staff continue to enhance the automated data validation process and investigate tools for streamlining review by staff.
---	-----	--

Municipality: King

Ansnoeveldt DWS July 11, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
---	-----	---

King City DWS (York DWS sub-system) May 25, 2018	100	One non-compliance identified relating to an incident where staff responded appropriately to a process issue but failed to adequately document the work completed as required by regulation. Operational procedures were reviewed by Region staff and found to be satisfactory. Additional training on operational procedures related to documentation practices was provided to staff. This non-compliance did not impact the Inspection Score. There were no best management practice recommendations from this Inspection.
---	-----	--

Nobleton DWS April 11, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
---------------------------------------	-----	---

Schomberg DWS June 15, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
---------------------------------------	-----	---

Municipality: Newmarket

Newmarket DWS (York DWS sub-system) October 5, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
--	-----	---

System Name and Inspection Summary of Findings and Corrective Actions
Inspection Date Score (%)

Municipality: Georgina		
Keswick DWS (Georgina DWS sub-system) January 9, 2018	86.03	<p>Three non-compliances and no best management practice recommendations were identified. Two related to a single incident where the treatment chemical necessary for removing particles from the water was not applied for less than one day, and was not immediately reported to the Ministry. This incident was self-identified by Region staff, corrected and reported to the Ministry. Laboratory results from samples taken during this period of time did not indicate any water quality issues. Upgraded monitoring equipment was installed to prevent reoccurrence.</p> <p>A third non-compliance related to an incident where required turbidity monitoring was not performed due to an error in restoring monitoring equipment after completing routine maintenance. Other monitoring equipment and laboratory sample results during this period of time indicated no water quality issues. Operational procedures were reviewed and revised, and monitoring systems were upgraded to prevent reoccurrence.</p>
Georgina DWS and Georgina Water Treatment Plant January 9, 2018	100	<p>There were no non-compliance findings from this inspection.</p> <p>There was one best management practice recommendation to investigate how to cross reference maintenance activities during the data review process to ensure data points that do not belong in the annual report are not included. A data point was included in annual reporting that was considered erroneous because it was collected during maintenance, however it appeared to exceed the discharge limit for water returned to the lake and caused confusion. Staff continue to enhance the automated data validation process and investigate tools for streamlining review by staff.</p>
Georgina DWS and Georgina Water Treatment Plant October 18, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
Municipality: Vaughan		
Kleinburg DWS (York DWS sub-system) April 11, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.
Municipality: Vaughan, Richmond Hill, Markham		
York Distribution System (part of the York DWS) February 5, 2018	100	There were no non-compliance findings or best management practice recommendations from this inspection.

System Name and Inspection Summary of Findings and Corrective Actions
Inspection Date Score (%)

Municipality: Whitchurch-Stouffville		
Ballantrae- Musselman's Lake DWS April 24, 2018	96.02	<p>Two non-compliances were identified relating to a single incident where a set of raw water and treated water samples were taken, but not delivered to the laboratory for analysis. This single delivery error is reflected in two other 2018 Inspections. Operational procedures have been reviewed and revised to prevent re-occurrence and training was provided to staff. Subsequent weekly samples were analyzed with no water quality issues identified.</p> <p>There was one best management practice recommendation to update the work management system to correctly reflect the frequency of asset inspections. Staff updated the work order frequency for the relevant asset inspections.</p>
Stouffville DWS (York DWS sub-system) November 27, 2018	95.77	<p>Two non-compliances were identified relating to a single incident where a set of raw water and treated water samples were taken, but not delivered to the laboratory for analysis. This single delivery error is reflected in two other 2018 Inspections. Operational procedures have been reviewed and revised to prevent re-occurrence and training was provided to staff. Subsequent weekly samples were analyzed with no water quality issues identified.</p> <p>There was one best management practice recommendation to install protective signs for a monitoring well adjacent to the driveway to prevent accidental impact. Staff installed protective signs as recommended.</p>