

-----Original Message-----

From: Marilyn lafrate <Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 11:00 PM

To: Regional Clerk <ClerkGeneralLine@york.ca>

Cc: Executive Assistant to Marilyn lafrate <gina.ciampa@vaughan.ca>

Subject: Agenda Items: Block 66E and 10951 Kipling Ave

Dear Members of York Region Council, I understand that you will be considering both items and below are my comments for each as both items are located in my Ward:

Block 66E

This employment conversion has had no public consultation. The item came as an addendum the evening before our Committee of the Whole last week. There was no opportunity to consult with the community or nearby landowners on the merits of this conversion. There will be no further opportunities for the public to speak to this matter.

I simply wish to remind members that this parcel of land is within/adjacent to a future extension of Highway 427. Residential communities are not appropriate up against any highway. In addition, Vaughan will exceed its 2051 residential targets by over 25K residents without this parcel of land and without future urban boundary expansion that the Region has deemed necessary but actually, is unnecessary.

This item was brought onto our agenda as a Member's Resolution by Regional Councillor Jackson and did pass at Committee last week. I did not support it because there was no public notice or consultation. The decision has not yet been ratified by Vaughan Council.

10951 Kipling Ave.

I understand that a motion was made to change the lands from Agriculture to Rural. There was also discussion at your May 26, 2022 meeting about potential use of this property by the City of Vaughan. Again, this parcel is in my Ward and the community is not supportive of this type of development that has been proposed by the landowners, not once but twice now over the last 10 years.

Even if the City were to be interested in these lands for its own use, having the Region redesignate this property would ensure that the City would incur extraordinary costs as the land value would increase dramatically. Our collective fiduciary duty is to protect the finances of our taxpayers by ensuring that their monies receive the best possible value. By changing the designation of these lands, you will, in effect, artificially increase the land value for the owner. If a level of government is interested in these lands for other purposes, it should be acquired and then apply to the Region for a redesignation just like ROPA7 did.

I thank you in advance,
Marilyn lafrate
Councillor
Maple & Kleinburg