

From: [Alan Heisey](#)
To: [Ogunmefun, Bola](#)
Cc: [Clerk General Line](#); [Goodeve, Colin](#); [Filippazzo, Fabrizio](#); [Hankins, Ed](#); [John D. Srebot](#)
Subject: RE: Development Charge Complaint - 434 Steeles Ave West; October 12, 2023 Committee of the Whole - 9 am
Date: Thursday, December 21, 2023 9:16:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

I am writing on behalf of the complainants in respect of the above referenced complaint to consent to defer the DC complaint hearing before Regional Council to April 2024.

I further confirm on behalf of the complainants that by deferring the hearing, our clients will not appeal the complaint to the OLT pursuant to subsection 22(2) on the basis that Regional Council did not deal with the complaint within the statutory time limit on the basis the Region will not treat the decision to further defer in January as an appealable decision that our client legally compelled to appeal as a Council decision.

Alan

A.Milliken Heisey K.C.

Papazian | Heisey | Myers,

Barristers & Solicitors/Avocats

Standard Life Centre,

Suite 510, 121 King St. W.,

P.O. Box/C.P. 105,

Toronto, ON, M5H 3T9

Tel: 416 601 2702 | F: 416 601 1818

[Website](#) | [Bio](#)

IMPORTANT NOTICE - AVIS IMPORTANT

This email transmission and any accompanying attachments contain confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. Any dissemination, distribution, copying or action taken in reliance on the contents of this email by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please immediately delete it and notify sender at the above email address.

Le present message et les pieces qui y sont jointes contiennent des renseignements confidentiels destines uniquement a la personne ou a l'organisme nomme ci-dessus. Toute diffusion, distribution, reproduction ou utilisation comme reference du contenu du message par une autre personne que le destinataire est formellement interdite. Si vous avez recu ce courriel par erreur, veuillez le detruire immediatement et en informer l'expediteur a l'adresse ci-dessus.

From: Ogunmefun, Bola <Bola.Ogunmefun@york.ca>

Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2023 3:43 PM

To: Alan Heisey <heisey@phmlaw.com>

Cc: Clerk General Line <ClerkGeneralLine@york.ca>; Goodeve, Colin <Colin.Goodeve@york.ca>; Filippazzo, Fabrizio <Fabrizio.Filippazzo@york.ca>; Hankins, Ed <Ed.Hankins@york.ca>
Subject: FW: Development Charge Complaint - 434 Steeles Ave West; October 12, 2023 Committee of the Whole - 9 am

Good afternoon Alan,

Further to our email exchange below, I understand from Vaughan staff that the hearing of this matter at Vaughan Council has been deferred to Q1 2024. Accordingly, I am writing to get your consent to defer the DC complaint hearing before Regional Council to April 2024. I would also request that you confirm in writing that by deferring the hearing, you will not appeal the complaint to the OLT pursuant to subsection 22(2) on the basis that Regional Council did not deal with the complaint within 60 days.

As previously confirmed, the deferral will not be treated as a Council decision, and your client would not be legally compelled to appeal the deferral as a Council decision.

Regards,

Bola

Our working hours may be different. Please do not feel obligated to reply outside of your scheduled working hours. Let's work together to help foster healthy work-life boundaries

Bola Ogunmefun (she/her) | Senior Counsel, Development Law | Legal & Court Services
Department

The Regional Municipality of York | 17250 Yonge Street | Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1
O: 1-877-464-9675 ext. 71459 | bola.ogunmefun@york.ca | www.york.ca

Our Mission: **Working together to serve our thriving communities – today and tomorrow**



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Confidentiality: The information contained in this communication is confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom/ which it is addressed. The contents of this communication may also be subject to legal privilege, and all rights of that privilege are expressly claimed and not waived. Any distribution, use or copying of this communication, or the information it contains, by anyone other than the intended recipient, is unauthorized. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately and destroy the communication without making a copy. Thank you.

From: Alan Heisey <heisey@phmlaw.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2023 8:46 AM

To: Regional Clerk <ClerkGeneralLine@york.ca>

Cc: Filippazzo, Fabrizio <Fabrizio.Filippazzo@york.ca>; Ogunmefun, Bola <Bola.Ogunmefun@york.ca>

Subject: RE: Development Charge Complaint - 434 Steeles Ave West; October 12, 2023 Committee of the Whole - 9 am

I act for the complainants.

I am requesting a deferral of the hearing of this complaint on behalf of the complainants as a result of the decision of Vaughan Council yesterday to defer the hearing of the complaint against the City DC Charges to a meeting in December to permit City staff to respond to factual matters raised by the complainant

I agree to the deferral terms set out by Regional Council below and I will not appeal the deferral of today's hearing as a non decision until after February 1, 2024 in order to permit Regional Council to receive and review the decision of Vaughan City Council and any additional information Vaughan Council may receive from City Staff

Yours Truly

A. Milliken Heisey K.C.

Papazian | Heisey | Myers,

Barristers & Solicitors/Avocats

Standard Life Centre,

Suite 510, 121 King St. W.,

P.O. Box/C.P. 105,

Toronto, ON, M5H 3T9

Tel: 416 601 2702 | F: 416 601 1818

[Website](#) | [Bio](#)

IMPORTANT NOTICE - AVIS IMPORTANT

This email transmission and any accompanying attachments contain confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. Any dissemination, distribution, copying or action taken in reliance on the contents of this email by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please immediately delete it and notify sender at the above email address.

Le present message et les pieces qui y sont jointes contiennent des renseignements confidentiels destines uniquement a la personne ou a l'organisme nomme ci-dessus. Toute diffusion, distribution, reproduction ou utilisation comme reference du contenu du message par une autre personne que le destinataire est formellement interdite. Si vous avez recu ce courriel par erreur, veuillez le detruire immediatement et en informer l'expediteur a l'adresse ci-dessus.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 12, 2023

Item 1, Report No. 52, of the Committee of the Whole, which was adopted without amendment by the Council of the City of Vaughan on December 12, 2023.

1. **DEVELOPMENT CHARGE COMPLAINT – 434 STEELES AVE WEST (REFERRED)**

The Committee of the Whole recommends:

1. That this matter be adjourned until Q1 2024, in accordance with Communication C1., memorandum from the Deputy City Manager, Legal and Administrative Services & City Solicitor, and Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services, City Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, dated December 5, 2023.

Recommendations

Council, at its meeting of October 17, 2023, adopted the following recommendations (Item 1, Report No. 41), without amendment:

Recommendations of the Committee of the Whole of October 11, 2023:

The Committee of the Whole recommends:

1. That this matter be adjourned until December 2023;
2. That the report of the Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services, City Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, dated October 11, 2023, be received;
3. That the comments of Alan Milliken Heisey, K.C., PHM Barristers and Solicitors, King Street, Toronto, on behalf of Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited, and Communication C2., dated October 6, 2023, be received; and
4. That the presentation by Nelson Pereira, Manager, Development Finance, on behalf of the City of Vaughan, and Communication C1., presentation material, be received.

Recommendations and Report of the Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services, City Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer, dated October 11, 2023:

1. THAT Council determine that Development Charge By-law 083-2018 has been properly applied to the non-residential development at 434 Steeles Avenue West; and
2. THAT Council dismiss the complaint filed on August 2, 2023 pursuant to section 20 of the Development Charges Act by Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited.

Committee of the Whole (2) Report

DATE: Tuesday, December 5, 2023

WARD(S): ALL

TITLE: DEVELOPMENT CHARGE COMPLAINT – 434 STEELES AVE
WEST (REFERRED)

FROM:

Michael Coroneos, Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services, City Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

ACTION: DECISION

Purpose

To respond to and provide information about the hearing of a complaint filed on August 2, 2023 by Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited (collectively, the “**Complainant**”) pursuant to section 20 of the *Development Charges Act* for 434 and 480 Steeles Avenue West.

Report Highlights

- The Complainant submitted a Site Plan Application (DA.20.003) to permit the development of a new 2-storey car dealership with an underground level at 434 Steeles Avenue West.
- Staff assessed development charges pursuant to Development Charge By-law 083-2018 (the “**DCBL**”).
- On August 2, 2023, the Complainant filed a complaint with the City Clerk objecting to the development charges assessed.
- Council is required to hold a hearing regarding the complaint in accordance with the DC Act.

Recommendations

Council, at its meeting of October 17, 2023, adopted the following recommendations (Item 1, Report No. 41), without amendment:

Recommendations of the Committee of the Whole of October 11, 2023:

The Committee of the Whole recommends:

1. That this matter be adjourned until December 2023;
2. That the report of the Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services, City Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, dated October 11, 2023, be received;
3. That the comments of Alan Milliken Heisey, K.C., PHM Barristers and Solicitors, King Street, Toronto, on behalf of Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited, and Communication C2., dated October 6, 2023, be received; and
4. That the presentation by Nelson Pereira, Manager, Development Finance, on behalf of the City of Vaughan, and Communication C1., presentation material, be received.

Recommendations and Report of the Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services, City Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer, dated October 11, 2023:

1. THAT Council determine that Development Charge By-law 083-2018 has been properly applied to the non-residential development at 434 Steeles Avenue West; and
2. THAT Council dismiss the complaint filed on August 2, 2023 pursuant to section 20 of the *Development Charges Act* by Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited.

Background

A Site Plan Application was submitted by the Complainant to permit the development of a new car dealership.

The Complainant submitted a Site Plan Application (DA.20.003) to permit the development of a new 2-storey car dealership with one level of underground parking (the “**Added Building**”) at 434 Steeles Avenue West (the “**434 Lands**”), as shown on **Attachment 1**.

On an adjacent parcel is an existing car dealership at 480 Steeles Avenue West (the “**480 Lands**”), as shown on **Attachment 1**, with its own underground parking garage (the “**Existing Building**”).

The Added Building was positioned to straddle the property line of the 480 Lands and the underground parking level of the Added Building was proposed to connect to the existing underground level of the Existing Building. To address this, prior to site plan approval, the Complainant merged the 434 Lands and the 480 Lands on title by consolidating the two lots into one lot under one ownership (the “**Merged Lot**”).

Site Plan Application File DA.20.003 was approved by the Ontario Land Tribunal (“**OLT**”) on May 5, 2022.

The Complainant applied for a building permit (Building Permit #22-110942) to construct the development on the 434 Lands.

Prior to Building Permit issuance, staff evaluated the parking requirements for zoning compliance based on the Merged Lot. In reviewing the parking requirements for the two buildings on the Merged Lot (the Existing Building and the Added Building) against the total surface and underground parking spaces proposed, staff determined that sufficient parking spaces were available within the underground parking in the Existing Building and the total surface parking to be available on the Merged Lot.

As a result, the underground parking spaces in the Added Building were not required in order to satisfy the parking requirements of the zoning by-law. As such, staff assessed development charges pursuant to the DCBL on the gross floor area (“**GFA**”) of the Added Building, including its underground level and the portion of that level which is for parking.

Building Permit #22-110942 was issued on May 25, 2023.

The Complainant filed a DC Act complaint objecting to the development charges assessed on the GFA of the underground parking.

On August 2, 2023, the Complainant filed a complaint with the City Clerk pursuant to section 20 of the DC Act objecting to the development charges assessed on the GFA of the underground parking (the “**Complaint**”). A copy of the Complaint is at **Attachment 2**.

As a result of discussions with staff and the Complainant, the issues of the Complaint have been scoped and can be summarized as relating to the following outstanding matters:

- whether the development charges were calculated incorrectly in calculating required parking;
- whether the development charges were calculated based on an incorrect GFA calculation; and
- whether the amounts of interest charged is too high and not explained.

The basis of the Complaint is that the underground parking is required parking (not excess) such that the GFA of the portion of the underground parking should be exempt from the development charge calculation. The Complainant is seeking a refund of the City development charges paid (and related interest) in respect of the underground parking in the amount of approximately \$475,000.

Provisions under the DC Act allow a complaint to be filed to the Council of a municipality in respect of development charges imposed.

A complaint to the Council of a municipality can be made pursuant to subsection 20(1) of the DC Act that: (i) the amount of a development charge was incorrectly determined; (ii) whether a credit is available to be used against the development charge; or (iii) there was an error in the application of the development charge by-law.

If a complaint is made, pursuant to subsection 20(4) of the DC Act, Council is required to hold a hearing into the complaint and give the Complainant an opportunity to make representations at the hearing.

Pursuant to subsection 20(6) of the DC Act, at the hearing, Council may dismiss the Complaint or rectify an incorrect determination or error that was the subject of the Complaint.

The Complainant may appeal the decision of Council to the OLT pursuant to subsection 22(1) of the DC Act.

Previous Reports/Authority

N/A

Analysis and Options

The area of buildings used to provide parking required to comply with the zoning by-law is exempt from development charges pursuant to the DCBL while the area

of buildings which provide parking that is in excess of what is required by the zoning by-law is not exempt.

The definition of GFA in subsection 1(25)(d) of the DCBL states the following:

“(25) **“gross floor area”** means, in the case of a non-residential building or structure, or the non-residential portion of a mixed-use building or structure, the aggregate of the areas of each floor, whether above or below grade, measured between the exterior faces of the exterior walls of the building or structure, or from the centre line of a common wall separating a non-residential and a residential use, and:

(d) includes any part of a building or structure above or below grade used as a commercial parking garage.” [emphasis added]

The definition of commercial parking garage in subsection 1(15) of the DCBL states the following:

“(15) **“commercial parking garage”** means a building or structure, or any part thereof, whose principal use is for the parking of motor vehicles for remuneration, or in the case where parking is provided as an accessory to a principal use on the lands, where such parking is provided in a building or structure, or part thereof, whether or not there is remuneration paid by the owner or user for the motor vehicle, the portion of parking as required by the Zoning By-law shall not attract development charges for the purpose of this By-law.” [emphasis added]

For the purpose of calculating development charges pursuant to the DCBL, GFA includes an underground level used for parking except where that area is exempt because it provides required parking to comply with zoning requirements.

Staff assessed development charges pursuant to the DCBL on the GFA of the underground parking.

If the Complainant had not merged the properties to create one lot, then the calculation of required parking for zoning compliance would have been determined based on only the 434 Lands. However, the Complainant chose to create the Merged Lot meaning that zoning compliance had to be determined based on the Merged Lot. The determination of the required parking on the Merged Lot dictated the conclusion that the parking in the underground of the Added Building is in excess of what is required under the zoning by-law.

Development charges for the Added Building were calculated in accordance with the DCBL based on the total GFA of the proposed new car dealership (including the underground level) less the demolition of the previous car dealership and exempting the rooftop parking area resulting in a net GFA of 4,553.06 m².

The Complaint asserts that the GFA of the underground parking (2,662.32 m²) should be exempt from development charges as being required parking. However, as described above, the calculation of required parking to satisfy the zoning standard is required to be based on the Merged Lot. The result is that the exemption for required parking is not available for the parking areas within the Added Building.

Financial Impact

There are no immediate financial impacts that would result from the recommendations in this Report. Development charges have already been collected by the City prior to building permit issuance.

The following is a breakdown of the development charges payable (without interest) in respect of the development on the 434 Lands:

- | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. City Development Charges | 4,553.06 x \$163.04 = \$742,330.90 |
| 2. Regional Development Charges | 4,553.06 x \$629.67 = \$2,866,925.29 |
| 3. Educational Development Charges | 4,553.06 x \$14.10 = \$64,198.15 |

The total of the three development charges payable was \$3,673,454.34 (without interest) and \$4,016,368.09 (with interest).

If Council upholds the Complaint, the City will be required to refund to the Complainant, pursuant to the DC Act, the development charges paid under protest (with interest) being approximately \$475,000.

Operational Impact

N/A

Broader Regional Impacts/Considerations

The Region has received a complaint from the Complainant under the DC Act regarding the development charges payable to the Region. The Region will be proceeding with their own hearing pursuant to the DC Act and assessing against their own development charge by-law.

Conclusion

Staff is of the opinion that the DCBL was properly applied to the non-residential development of the Added Building and no error was made in the calculation of the development charges or required parking. Staff recommend that Council dismiss the Complaint.



For more information, please contact: Nelson Pereira, Manager, Development Finance, extension 8393.

Attachments

1. Location Map
2. Complaint letter from Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited dated August 2, 2023.
3. Communications from the Committee of the Whole meeting of October 11, 2023.

Prepared by

Nelson Pereira, Manager, Development Finance, 8393




480 STEELES AVENUE WEST

434 STEELES AVENUE WEST

CITY OF TORONTO



SUBJECT LANDS



Attachment

LOCATION: Part of Lot 26, Concession 1
434 and 480 Steeles Avenue West
APPLICANT: 1972380 and 1219414 Ontario Limited
CITY OF VAUGHAN

FILE: DA.20.003
DATE: September 21, 2023

1

August 2, 2023

VIA EMAIL - clerks@vaughan.ca

Todd Coles
Council of the City of Vaughan
City of Vaughan
City Hall
2141 Major Mackenzie Drive
Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1

Dear Sir:

Re: 434 Steeles Avenue West Plan RP 1607
Re - Permit 22 110942 FDN
Additional Info - DA 20.003
Re - Development Charges Complaint
Our File No. 98348

Please be advised we are the solicitors for Auto World Import Network (A.W.I.N.) and 480 Steeles West Limited the owner of 434-480 Steeles Avenue West

Please consider this letter a complaint from the development charges assessed to our clients in the attached Statement of Development Charges dated May 8, 2023.

It is submitted the amount charged was incorrectly determined for the following reasons:

1. The Development Charges with calculated based on the 2022 development charges tariff not the 2021 development charges tariff.
2. The Development Charges were calculated incorrectly in calculating "required parking".
3. The City Development Charges Certificate issued by the City of Vaughan dated August 2021 fixes the DC Rate Schedule Date as at July 1, 2021.

Suite 510, 121 King St. W., P.O. Box 105, Toronto, ON M5H 3T9

T: 416 601 1800

F: 416 601 1818


4. The charges were based on an incorrect gross floor area calculation.
5. The City of Vaughan Development Charges rates should be determined as per the attached Awin calculation of revised DC Charges based on the 2021 rates as per the City Development Charges Certificate.
6. The amounts of interest charged is too high and is not explained.
7. Such further and other reasons as are advanced to Council.

Should you require any further information please contact the author.

For the purposes of notice please send notice of the Council hearing to both the author at this law firm and to Auto World Import Network (A.W.I.N.) (Sylvester Chuang) and 480 Steeles West Limited at 222 Steeles Avenue West, Thornhill, Ontario L4J 1A1 Attention John D. Srebot.

Please confirm receipt of this complaint to Council by return email.

Yours very truly,



A. Milliken Heisey, K.C.
AMH/lg
Encl.

cc: 480 Steeles Avenue West and Auto World Import Network

Attachment 3

Communications from the Committee of the Whole meeting of
October 11, 2023.

Item 1, Report No. 41 - DEVELOPMENT CHARGE COMPLAINT
– 434 STEELES AVE WEST

**Auto World Import Network & 480 Steeles West Ltd. –
Development Charge Complaint**

On October 26, 2023 Regional Council made the following decision:

Committee of the Whole recommends the Development Charge Hearing to consider the charges payable by Auto World Import Network and 480 Steeles West Limited be adjourned to January 2024, to allow time for City of Vaughan to consider the development charge complaint filed by the complainant.

The Regional Clerk confirmed the complainant had already provided written consent to the adjournment decision.